

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/883,845	Applicant(s) SMART ET AL.	
	Examiner Juan A. Torres	Art Unit 2631	

All Participants:

 (1) Juan A. Torres.

 (2) Lee Henderson.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006
Time: 4:05 pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 6 and claim 24


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner asked the Applicant's representative if he will allow the Examiner to do the following Examiner amendment:

1.- In page 22 at the end of the second paragraph the recitation

". An output of the first sliding-window transform 921 is provided to an input of an M-th demapper 933."

it is suggested to be changed to

". An output of the first sliding-window transform 921 is provided to an input of an M-th demapper 931.".

2.- As per claim 6, the recitation in lines 6-9 of claim 6

"said receiver further comprising a first sliding window transform configured to transform data from said first channel using a first transform length and a second sliding window transform configured to transform data from said second channel using a second transform length"

it is suggested to be changed to

"said receiver further comprising a first sliding window transform configured to transform said received stream of data samples to said first channel using a first transform length and a second sliding window transform configured to transform said received stream of data samples to said second channel using a second transform length" (the channels are the outputs, see figure 15 of the Application).

3.- As per claim 24, the recitation in lines 9-12 of claim 24

"a first sliding-window transform configured to transform said first sub-band digital data stream into a first channel data stream; and a second sliding-window transform configured to transform said first sub-band digital data stream into a second channel data stream"

it is suggested to be changed to

"a first sliding-window transform configured to transform said first sub-band digital data stream into a first channel data stream using N time-domain input values to generate M frequency domain output values; and a second sliding-window transform configured to transform said first sub-band digital data stream into a second channel data stream using N input values to generate M frequency domain output values, where the value N used for said second channel is different from the value of N used for said first channel" (see claim 6).

The Applicant's representative allows the Examiner to do the Examiner amendment.